UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,402	03/18/2004	Clark E. Smith	C382.12-0180	7374
	7590 12/22/200 HAMPLIN & KELLY,	EXAMINER		
<b>SUITE 1400</b>		FANTU, YALKEW		
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIII (III OD)	0, 14114 33 102 33 13		2838	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/22/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

î	9	
~		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/804,402	SMITH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yalkew Fantu	2838					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	,						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Au	igust 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
,							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·						
	•						
Disposition of Claims	· .	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		·					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
· ·	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/14/2006	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

Art Unit: 2838

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertness et al (US 6,104,167) in view of Tran (US 2003/0008202).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Bertness et al. discloses a "battery charger [figure 1] comprising: battery charging circuitry [figure 1 -12] configured to couple to a battery [8], and to provide a charging signal to the battery [col. 2, Ins 38-48]; and communication circuitry [80], coupled to the charging circuitry [via 34], configured to transmit a signal to an external device upon receipt of a charge status code from the battery charging circuitry [col. 5, Ins 5-17]." But, does not expressly disclose an external device having an alarm to notify a user upon receipt of the transmitted signal.

Tran reference, however, discloses that the external device (such as a telephone receiver, a pager, which are capable of alarming the user) has an alarm to notify a user upon receipt of transmitted signal (page, 3 par. 36-38).

Bertness et al and Tran are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor namely method of charging battery and battery capacity reporting.

Art Unit: 2838

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to have added an alerting alarm such as a pager to the battery charging apparatus of Bertness et al. in view of the teaching of Tran.

The motivation for doing so would have been obvious in view of the teachings of Tran by adding an alerting alarm such as a pager with audio and visual, and a cell phone with text messaging features in view of the teachings of Tran Page 3 paragraph 0037; and page 4 paragraph 0046 to use the charger system to get the benefit of all added features of external devices so as to obtain the invention as specified in the claims.

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Bertness et al. discloses "a Kelvin connection configured to couple to the battery [col. 5, Ins 17-21]."

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Bertness et al. discloses, "the charge status code indicates that the battery charge is complete [col. 5, Ins 22-34]."

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Bertness et al. discloses, "the charge status code is indicative of a time remaining for the battery to be completely charged [col.. 5, Ins 22-34]."

Regarding claims 10 and 21, Bertness et al. discloses "the signal, that the communication circuitry, is configured to transmit, is a radio frequency signal [col.. 5, In 10]."

Regarding claims 11 and 22, Bertness et al. discloses "the signal, that the communication circuitry is configured to transmit, is an infrared signal [col.. 5, ln 10]."

Art Unit: 2838

With respect of claims 5 and 16, Bertness and Tran discloses a battery charging and notification system with an external device having an alarm to notify a user upon receipt of the transmitted signal, further more, Tran discloses the external device, to which the communication circuitry is configured to transmit the signal, is a pager configured to provide a user with an audio alert (page 3 paragraph 0036 line 5)

With respect to claims 6 and 17, Tran discloses the external device, to which the communication circuitry is configured to transmit the signal, is a pager configured to provide a user with a visual alert (Page 3 paragraph 0037 line 9).

With respect to claims 7 and 18, Tran discloses external device, to which the communication circuitry is configured to transmit the signal, is a pager configured to vibrate (Page 3 paragraph 0037 line 9). It is obvious that a pager comes with a vibrating feature.

Regarding claims 8 and 19, Tran discloses the external device, to which the communication circuitry is configured to transmit the signal, is a two-way pager (Page 3 paragraph 0037)

With respect to claims 9 and 20, Tran discloses the external device, to which the communication circuitry is configured to transmit the signal, is a cell phone (page 3 paragraph 037 lines 9 and 10) configured to provide a text message (page 3 paragraph 0037 line 10) regarding a charge status of the battery.

Applicant's arguments filed on 08/04/2006 have been considered but are ineffective to overcome the combined references of Bertness et al and Tran. (See the

rejection above).

Applicant argues, "Bertness et al. teaches nothing about external device having

an alarm... to notify a user" Bertness combined with Tran, however, discloses an

external device having an alarm configured to notify a user (Tran page, 3 par. 36-38).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection

presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See

MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in

37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2838

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yalkew Fantu whose telephone number is 571-272-8928. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F: 7- 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl D. Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KARL EASTHOM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER